

Inequality of Educational Outcome and
Inequality of Educational Opportunity in the
Netherlands during the 20th Century

Maarten L. Buis

Thesis committee:

prof.dr. R. Breen (Yale University)

dr.ir. C.H. Elzinga (VU University Amsterdam)

prof.dr. P.M. de Graaf (Tilburg University)

prof.dr. S.P. Jenkins (University of Essex)

prof.dr. T. Tam (Chinese University of Hong Kong)

© Maarten L. Buis, 2010

ISBN: 978-90-8659472-6

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT

Inequality of educational outcome and inequality of educational opportunity in the Netherlands during the 20th century

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad Doctor aan
de Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam,
op gezag van de rector magnificus
prof.dr. L.M. Bouter,
in het openbaar te verdedigen
ten overstaan van de promotiecommissie
van de faculteit der Sociale Wetenschappen
op vrijdag 2 juli 2010 om 13.45 uur
in de aula van de universiteit,
De Boelelaan 1105

door

Maarten Leendert Buis
geboren te Amsterdam

promotor: prof.dr. H.B.G. Ganzeboom
copromotor: dr. A.W. Hoogendoorn

Acknowledgments

While writing this dissertation I was fortunate to receive support in a variety of forms from many people, and I would like to use this opportunity to thank them. In particular I would like to thank my promotor Harry Ganzeboom for introducing me into the field of social stratification research and for his critical and constructive comments on my texts and analyses. In addition I thank my copromotor Adriaan Hoogendoorn for the frequent brain storm sessions (and the coffee).

Very important was the camaraderie and support from my former colleagues in the department, especially of those with whom I shared an office (or whose office I visited so often that that was *de facto* the case): Kadri Täht, Tina Glasner, Yfke Ongena, and Irma Mooi-Reçi. They were for a large part responsible for the day to day fun.

My friends helped maintain my sense of perspective. In particular, Anne Potters who contributed her down to earth insights into what life is like outside academia, and who mobilized the “language nerds” from her circle of friends, to help me, a “numbers nerd”, with the difficult task of writing. The people of woongroep Pantha Rhei were of great practical help, as they made sure I always had a warm meal, regardless of how late I got home.

Finally, I thank my mother, father, and sister for their support and confidence in me.

Contents

1	Introduction	11
2	Trends in educational inequality in the Netherlands:	
	A replication and a critique	17
2.1	Introduction	17
2.2	The Dutch education system	19
2.3	Previous research	22
2.3.1	Results from the study by De Graaf and Ganzeboom (1993) and the design of the replication	25
2.4	Results	28
2.4.1	Inequality of Educational Outcome	28
2.4.2	Inequality of Educational Opportunity	34
2.5	Summary and discussion	41
2.5.1	Summary	41
2.5.2	Discussion: how the remaining chapters can improve on this study	41
	Appendix: Description of data sources	44
3	Scaling levels of education	47
3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	Previous research	48
3.3	The Dutch educational system	49
3.4	The model	54
3.5	The data	57
3.6	Results	60
3.7	Conclusion	65
	Appendix: Description of data sources	67
4	Deceleration of the trend in inequality of educational outcome in the Netherlands	69
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Previous research	71
4.3	Data	72
4.4	Method	76
4.5	Results	80
4.6	Conclusion	86

Appendix: Surveys and indicators of their quality	88
5 Parents and their resources:	
The relative influence of the education and occupation of both parents on the educational attainment of their offspring in the Netherlands between 1939 and 1991	91
5.1 Introduction	91
5.2 Parental resources	92
5.3 Data and method	94
5.3.1 Data	94
5.3.2 Method	95
5.4 Results	96
5.5 Conclusion	101
6 Not all transitions are equal:	
The relationship between inequality of educational opportunities and inequality of educational outcomes	105
6.1 Introduction	105
6.2 Different models of IEO	107
6.3 IEOpp and IEOut	109
6.4 Empirical application	113
6.4.1 The Dutch education system	113
6.4.2 The data	115
6.4.3 Generalizing the decomposition to a tracked system	117
6.4.4 Results	118
6.5 Conclusion	130
Appendix: Derivation of equation (6.3)	134
7 The consequences of unobserved heterogeneity in a sequential logit model	137
7.1 Introduction	137
7.2 Two effects of unobserved heterogeneity	139
7.3 A sensitivity analysis	143
7.4 An example	146
7.4.1 The data	147
7.4.2 The results	148
7.5 Conclusion and discussion	158
Appendix: Sampling from the distribution of ε conditional on having passed the previous transitions	160

8	Conclusions and discussion	163
8.1	Conclusions	164
8.1.1	A replication	164
8.1.2	IEOut: operationalizing education, the trend, and family background	165
8.1.3	Combining IEOpp and IEOut	168
8.1.4	IEOpp: the influence of unobserved variables	169
8.1.5	Summary	170
8.2	Discussion	171
	Technical Materials	177
I	sheafcoef and propensreg:	
	Stata modules for fitting a measurement model with causal indicators	177
I.1	Sheaf coefficient	178
I.2	Parametrically weighted covariates	179
I.3	MIMIC	180
I.4	Maximization of the likelihood function	181
I.5	Example	182
I.6	Syntax and options	186
II	seqlogit:	
	Stata module for fitting a sequential logit model	189
II.1	Introduction	189
II.2	Example	189
II.3	Syntax and options	196
	Ongelijkheid in onderwijsuitkomsten en onderwijskansen in Nederland in de 20^{ste} eeuw:	
	Nederlandse samenvatting / Summary in Dutch	203
	Data references	215
	Bibliography	219

