

The composition of family background: The influence of the economic and cultural resources of both parents on the offspring's educational attainment

Maarten L. Buis

Department of Sociology
University of Tübingen
<http://www.maartenbuis.nl>

Introduction

- ▶ There is a long tradition in which one looks at the influence of family's socioeconomic status or class on the offspring's education or other characteristics.
- ▶ The typical variables are parental occupational status or class and parental education.
- ▶ So which parent matters?
 - ▶ the father and/or the mother,
 - ▶ the parent with the highest and/or lowest education or occupation,
 - ▶ the parent with the same-sex and/or different sex as the respondent, or
 - ▶ any combination of these?
- ▶ Have the relative strengths of these contributions changed over time?

What resource? occupational status or class

- ▶ Occupational status (class) can be seen as just a way of assigning values to (grouping) occupations
- ▶ What is it about the occupations of parents that influences the education of the children?
 - ▶ Occupations lead to income
 - ▶ Stress from working conditions, job security

What resource? education

- ▶ What is it about parental educations that influences the education of the children?
 - ▶ knowledge that can help with making the 'right' decisions or supplement the teacher (e.g. with homework)
 - ▶ Proxy for cultural capital

Prediction 1: The effect of parental occupation declined faster than the effect of parental education

- ▶ I expect the effect of parental occupation to decline because:
 - ▶ Subsidies and grants have increased making education cheaper
 - ▶ Expansion of the welfare state is likely to have decreased the worst effects of stressful occupations
- ▶ I do not expect a similar decline in the effect of parental education mainly because it is a much harder problem.

Which parent? sex of the parent

- ▶ The simplest form is the “conventional view”, according to which it is the father that matters.
- ▶ This does not fit well with the mechanisms discussed for parental occupation or education:
 - ▶ If occupation works because of the material resources earned with an occupation, than I would expect the sum of those resource to matter
 - ▶ If occupation works because the (lack of) stress from an occupation, than I would expect again that the sum to matter
 - ▶ If parental education works through the ability to help children do well at school, than I would expect the mother to matter more
 - ▶ If parental education works through cultural capital, than I again expect the mother to matter more

Prediction 2: The occupation of both parents matter, and their effects are equal

- ▶ The idea is that it is the resources that are brought in the family that matters, not who is bringing them in the family.
- ▶ The latter part of this prediction may be a bit optimistic, traditional roles may still play a role:
 - ▶ In the Netherlands the sex of the parent is a pretty good proxy for part-time work. In that case we would expect that the occupation of the mother contributes less than the occupation of the father.

Prediction 3: Mother's education matters more than father's education, especially when mother is homemaker

- ▶ The idea is that traditional role patterns are still very much present when it comes to interacting with the children and the children's schooling.
- ▶ And that the traditional role patterns are stronger in families where the mother is the homemaker.

Which parent? the dominant parent

- ▶ The idea is that the parent with the highest occupation or education are dominant.
- ▶ A strong version of this says that it is only the dominant parent that matters.
- ▶ Choosing one 'representative' parent for occupational status still does not fit well with the mechanisms.
- ▶ A weaker version in which the dominant parent has a larger effect than the non-dominant parent fits better with these mechanisms.

Which parent? sex role model

- ▶ The idea is that boys look at their fathers for guidance and daughters to their mothers.
- ▶ Mainly works through the interaction of parents with their children and not through the resources present in the family.
- ▶ So this is more relevant for parental education than occupation

Further predictions

- Prediction 4** The effect of the parent with the highest occupation or education is stronger than the effect of the parent with the lowest occupation or education.
- Prediction 5** The effect of the education of the parent with the same sex as the child is stronger than the education of the other parent.

Data

- ▶ International Stratification and Mobility File (ISMF) on the Netherlands.
- ▶ 11 surveys held between 1992 and 2006 with information on cohorts that were 12 in 1939-1991.
- ▶ 11,500 respondents aged between 24 and 65 have complete information on child's, father's and mother's education and father's and mother's occupation.
- ▶ Number of cases are unequally distributed over cohorts.

- ▶ One of the question is whether the relative strengths of the influence of the different parental characteristics changed over time.
- ▶ It is useful to imagine what the world would look like if these relative strengths did *not* change. This would be the null hypothesis.
- ▶ The effect of parental education and occupation could still go down, but if the effect of parental education was initially 75% of parental occupation, than that has to remain true for all subsequent years.
- ▶ The way to do that is to impose a proportionality constraint: The effect of the parental characteristics can change over time, but they have to change by the same proportion.
- ▶ This is a non-linear constraint, so needs a bit of extra work
`propcnsreg`

Family background

$$ed = coh + fem + coh * fem + (coh + fem + coh * fem) * par_ses$$

$$\begin{aligned} par_ses = & fed + med + \\ & fed * hi_fed + med * hi_med + \\ & fed * male + med * female \\ & med * homemaker + \\ & foc + moc + \\ & foc * hi_foc + moc * hi_moc \\ & foc * male + moc * female + homemaker * female + \\ & homemaker + homemaker * foc \end{aligned}$$

$$par_ses = fed + med +$$

The proportionality constraint

The hypothesis that the relative contributions of each background variable has not changed over time cannot be rejected: $\chi^2(47) = 50.16$, $p = 0.349$

Constraints on the effects of the parents (Wald tests)

Null hypothesis	occupation			education		
	χ^2	df	p	χ^2	df	p
mother = 0	25.67	1	0.000	32.83	1	0.000
father = mother	1.64	1	0.201	0.44	1	0.507
highest = same = lowest	0.12	2	0.941	16.50	2	0.000
same sex = different sex	4.33	2	0.115	0.07	1	0.793
compensation homemaker = 0	6.65	1	0.010	4.91	1	0.027

The effects of parental occupation

	effect	se
father	3.331	0.24
mother	3.331	0.24
highest		
lowest		
same sex		
homemaker	-0.61	0.21
home × female		
home × father	1.905	0.42

The effects of parental education

	effect	se
father	2.396	0.19
mother	2.396	0.19
highest	1.207	0.22
lowest	-1.109	0.40
same sex		
home × mother	0.918	0.44

Changes in the effects of parental occupation

	effect	se
year _{1939–1970}	-0.121	0.01
year _{1970–1991}	0.002	0.03
female		
year _{1939–1970} × female		
year _{1970–1991} × female		
constant	1	

Conclusions

- Prediction 1 The effect of parental occupation declined faster than the effect of parental education **Rejected**
- Prediction 2 The occupation of both parents matter, and their effects are equal **Supported**
- Prediction 3 Mother's education matters more than father's education, especially when mother is homemaker **Partially supported**
- Prediction 4 The effect of the parent with the highest occupation or education is stronger than the effect of the parent with the lowest occupation or education. **Partially supported**
- Prediction 5 The effect of the education of the parent with the same sex as the child is stronger than the education of the other parent. **Rejected**