Likelihood of betafit

Maarten Buis

November 10, 2006

This document shows how to get from the probability density presented in my presentation to log likelihood function in betareg_lf.ado. In my presentation I stated that the probability density of a Beta distribution in the alternative parameterizations is:

$$f(y|\mu,\phi) \propto y^{\mu\phi-1}(y-1)^{(1-\mu)\phi-1}$$

The \propto means that I have ignored a constant. The constant is something that depends on μ and ϕ but not on y, in this case:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\phi)}{\Gamma(\mu\phi)\Gamma((1-\mu)\phi)}$$

Whereby Γ is the gamma function, a function similar to the factorial (!) function, but the gamma function can also deal with non-integers.

So the complete probability density function of the Beta distribution in the alternative parameterizations is:

$$f(y|\mu,\phi) = \frac{\Gamma(\phi)}{\Gamma(\mu\phi)\Gamma((1-\mu)\phi)} y^{\mu\phi-1} (y-1)^{(1-\mu)\phi-1}$$

If you fill in the value of y for the first observation you get the likelihood function for the first observation (L_1) . If you take the log, you get the log likelihood (ℓ_1) :

$$L_{i}(\mu,\phi|y_{i}) = \frac{\Gamma(\phi)}{\Gamma(\mu\phi)\Gamma((1-\mu)\phi)}y_{i}^{\mu\phi-1}(y_{i}-1)^{(1-\mu)\phi-1}$$

$$\ell_{i}(\mu,\phi|y_{i}) = \ln\left(\frac{\Gamma(\phi)}{\Gamma(\mu\phi)\Gamma((1-\mu)\phi)}y_{i}^{\mu\phi-1}(y_{i}-1)^{(1-\mu)\phi-1}\right)$$

$$= \ln[\Gamma(\phi)] - \ln[\Gamma(\mu\phi)] - \ln[\Gamma((1-\mu)\phi)] + [\mu\phi-1]\ln(y_{i}) + [(1-\mu)\phi-1]\ln(y_{i}-1)$$

The last step uses the following rules for dealing with logarithms:

$$\ln\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \ln(a) - \ln(b)$$

$$\ln(ab) = \ln(a) + \ln(b)$$

$$\ln\left(a^b\right) = b\ln(a)$$

Finally note that ϕ has to remain larger than zero, and μ has to remain between zero and one. To achieve that we let Stata maximize functions of ϕ and μ which can take any positive or negative number and transform them in the likelihood function to the correct metric. So we let Stata maximize $\ln(\phi)$ (which we call 'ln_phi') and wherever we see ϕ in the likelihood we write $\exp(\text{'ln_phi'})$. Similarly, 'mu' isn't μ but transformed μ , and wherever we see μ in the likelihood we write $\inf(\text{'mu'})$. Ingamma is a built in function in Stata that gives the natural logarithm of the gamma function. So the likelihood function in Stata code becomes:

```
qui replace 'lnf' = ///
lngamma(exp('ln_phi')) - ///
lngamma(invlogit('mu')*exp('ln_phi')) - ///
lngamma((1-invlogit('mu'))*exp('ln_phi')) + ///
(invlogit('mu')*exp('ln_phi')-1)*ln($S_MLy) + ///
((1-invlogit('mu'))*exp('ln_phi')-1)*ln(1-$S_MLy)
```